

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/789,004	02/27/2004	Samuel A. Vona JR.	SPG6611PDUS	6885	
27624 AKZO NOBE	7590 04/29/201 L.INC	EXAMINER			
LEGAL & IP 120 WHITE PLAINS ROAD, SUITE 300 TARRYTOWN, NY 10391			MERCIER, MELISSA S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1615		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/20/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

IPANLPATENT@AKZONOBEL.COM

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/789,004	VONA, SAMUEL A.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MELISSA S. MERCIER	1615	

	MELISSA S. MERCIER	1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 22 April 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.				
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time						
periods:	of the first strate.					
a) X The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	ED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the properties of Appeal has been filed. 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	of the date of appeal. Since a			
AMENDMENTS						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the contract of the proposed amendment of the contract of the proposed amendment of the proposed amendme	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);				
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or 	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		.,,	,			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the			
7. More purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 18, 20-35.		be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
_						

/Robert A. Wax/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1615 Continuation of 3, NOTE: Applicant has presented a new claim limitation which was not previously considered, claim 36, .

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants remarks regarding the references are not persuasive. Applicant is arguing limitations which are not in the claims rejected, namely, the time the composition is applied to the hair. Applicant remarks regarding the inoperability are also not persausive. Based on the respective teaching and advantages dislosed by Syad regarding the protection qualities of the starch hydrolysate.